In: KSC-BC-2020-07

The Prosecutor v. Hysni Gucati and Nasim Haradinaj

Before: Pre-Trial Judge

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Counsel for Nasim Haradinaj

Date: 14 June 2021

Language: English

Classification: Confidential

Reply to Prosecution Response to Defence Request for Clarification of

F00217 and F00220

Specialist Prosecutor Counsel for Nasim Haradinaj

Jack Smith Toby Cadman

Carl Buckley

Counsel for Hysni Gucati

Jonathan Elystan Rees QC

Huw Bowden

I. CLASSIFICATION

1. The Defence for Mr. Haradinaj files this Reply confidentially, with reference

to the confidential classification of the Decision on Prosecution Requests and

Challenges Pursuant to F00172 ("Decision")1 of 26 April 2021. There is no

objection to re-classify this filing as public.

II. SUBMISSIONS

2. The Defence for Haradinaj joins the Defence for Gucati's Reply of 14 June 2021

to the SPO Response regarding clarification of the scope of disclosure ordered

in the aforementioned Decision.2

3. The Defence for Mr. Haradinaj does not seek to repeat the arguments of the

Gucati Defence but makes the following supplementary observations.

4. The SPO's Response is a disconcerting example of its cavalier approach to

disclosure.

5. Not only is the SPO construing the Pre-Trial Judge's Order in an inexplicably

narrow way, thereby unreasonably withholding disclosable information

contrary to its obligations. Beyond that, when there is clearly a simple

¹ Decision on Prosecution Requests and Challenges Pursuant to F00172, KSC-BC-2020-07/F00210.

² Reply to Prosecution Response to Defence Requests for Clarification F00217 and F00220, KSC-BC-2020-

07/F00231.

KSC-BC-2020-07 14/06/2021

PUBLIC <u>CONFIDENTIAL</u> 14/06/2021 21:45:00

disagreement as to interpretation of the scope of disclosure between the

parties, the SPO's submissions go as far as claiming the Defence cannot obtain

an objective clarification from the Pre-Trial Judge on this point. Following

that approach, in the event of a disagreement as to the meaning of an order, it

would be the SPO that would have the final word. The SPO is respectfully

reminded it cannot occupy the role of Prosecutor and Judge at the same time.

6. Therefore, the Defence for Haradinaj repeats its request, joining the Gucati

Defence, for the Pre-Trial Judge to give appropriate clarifications on the Order

on disclosure.

Word Count: 364 words

Toby Cadman

Carl Buckley

Specialist Counsel

Specialist Co-Counsel